



# eInventory

The European  
eInfrastructures  
Observatory  
[www.enventory.eu](http://www.enventory.eu)

Seventh Framework Programme

Research Infrastructures

**eInventory** - European eInfrastructures Observatory

Grant Agreement no. RI-261554

## Deliverable D4.2

### Web platform draft specifications

**Abstract:** Deliverable D4.2 provides the requirements and functional specifications for the **European eInfrastructures Observatory** web platform. The profiling of the targeted users, the description of cases of use, the listing of requirements and associated functional or usability specifications, and the visual renderings of the platform in a sketch-like or more detailed form, are the methods used to derive the system's specifications. These techniques, in tandem, are used to guide system design and development, including information visualisation methods and interface design.



#### Authors:

Maria Roussou  
Dimitris Nastos  
Vivi Katifori  
Yannis Ioannidis  
Katerina El Raheb  
Antonis Lebesis  
Dimitra Keramida  
Nektaria Berikou  
Nikos Vogiatzis  
Jorge-A. Sanchez-P.

#### Status – Version:

Final – 3.0

#### Date:

September 10, 2011

#### Distribution - Type:

Public

## Document Revision History

Date	Issue	Author/Editor/Contributor	Summary of main changes / Status
May 02, 2011	1.0	M. Roussou	ToC for approval
June 14, 2011	1.1	V. Katifori	First draft
July 22, 2011	1.2	M. Roussou	Corrections and editorial changes
August 10, 2011	1.3	K. El Raheb, M. Roussou	Added mock-ups and content concerning prototyping
August 20, 2011	1.4	M. Roussou	Changed the formatting of the requirements table to enhance readability
August 25, 2011	1.5	V. Katifori	Added use cases descriptions
August 29, 2011	1.6	J-A. Sanchez-P., N. Vogiatzis, N. Berikou, M. Roussou, D. Nastos, D. Keramida, A. Lebasis, K. El Raheb, V. Katifori	Approval of deliverable contents at consortium meeting #21
September 3, 2011	1.7	N. Vogiatzis	Reorganised User Identification, Requirements and Functional Specifications into different chapters. Revised Use Cases descriptions. Overall editorial changes.
September 5, 2011	2.0	J-A. Sanchez-P.	Final version submitted to the QAT
September 8, 2011	2.1	QAT	Recommendations proposed by QAT
September 9, 2011	2.2	M. Roussou, V. Katifori, D. Keramida, A. Lebasis	Added specifications and integrated QAT comments into the final document
September 10, 2011	3.0	J.-A. Sanchez-P.	Final version submitted to the EC

Copyright © **e•nventory** consortium, 2010-2011

**e•nventory** is a project co-funded by the European Commission as a Coordination and Support Action within the 7th Framework Programme – Research Infrastructures. For more information on **e•nventory**, its partners and contributors please see [www.enventory.eu](http://www.enventory.eu)

This document contains material, which is the copyright of certain **e•nventory** consortium members and the EC, and may not be reproduced or copied without permission, except as mandated by the European Commission Grant Agreement no RI-261554 for reviewing and dissemination purposes.

The information contained in this document is provided by the copyright holders "as is" and any express or implied warranties, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose are disclaimed. In no event shall the members of the **e•nventory** collaboration, including the copyright holders, or the European Commission be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, exemplary, or consequential damages (including, but not limited to, procurement of substitute goods or services; loss of use, data, or profits; or business interruption) however caused and on any theory of liability, whether in contract, strict liability, or tort (including negligence or otherwise) arising in any way out of the use of the information contained in this document, even if advised of the possibility of such damage.

## Table of contents

<b>Document Revision History</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Table of contents</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>List of figures</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>References</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Acronyms</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Preface</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>Executive summary</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>1. From requirements to specifications – the framework</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>2. User identification and use cases</b> .....	<b>9</b>
2.1. User identification.....	9
2.2. Use cases .....	9
2.2.1. Policymaker use case.....	9
2.2.2. Researcher use case .....	11
<b>3. Requirements analysis and functional specifications</b> .....	<b>13</b>
3.1.1. Requirements / Specifications related to Indicators on Maps.....	14
3.1.2. Requirements / Specifications related to Build Your Own Index .....	19
3.1.3. Requirements / Specifications related to Organisation Mapping.....	20
3.1.4. Requirements / Specifications related to Trends .....	22
3.1.5. Requirements / Specifications related to Treemaps .....	23
3.1.6. Requirements / Specifications related to Communities Mapping .....	25
3.1.7. Requirements / Specifications related to Chronology .....	26
3.1.8. Generic Requirements / Specifications.....	27
<b>4. Low and high-fidelity prototypes</b> .....	<b>28</b>
4.1. Low-fidelity prototypes.....	28
4.2. High-fidelity prototypes.....	32
<b>5. Conclusions</b> .....	<b>37</b>

## List of figures

Figure 1: The main tools/services/applications of e nventory .....	14
Figure 2: Low fidelity prototype of the e nventory homepage .....	28
Figure 3: Low fidelity prototype of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> level of selection amongst “Indicators Visualiser” tools .....	29
Figure 4: Low fidelity prototype of the “Organisation Mapping” application screen .....	29
Figure 5: Low fidelity prototype of the “Communities Mapping” application screen .....	30
Figure 6: Low fidelity prototype of the main “Indicators Visualiser” application screen .....	30
Figure 7: Low fidelity prototype of the “Build Your Own Index” (composite indicator) functionality .....	31
Figure 8: Low fidelity prototype of the “Treemaps” application screen .....	31
Figure 9: High fidelity prototype of the e nventory homepage .....	32
Figure 10: High fidelity prototype of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> level “Indicators Visualiser” tools .....	32
Figure 11: High fidelity prototype of the tooltip and information bubble on mouse over each selection .....	33
Figure 12: Alternative representation of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> level “Indicators Visualiser” tools .....	33
Figure 13: High-fidelity prototype of the “Organisation Mapping” tool .....	34

Figure 14: High-fidelity prototype of the “Indicators Visualiser - Maps” tool	34
Figure 15: High-fidelity prototype of the “Indicators Visualiser - vertical bar chart” tool	35
Figure 16: High-fidelity prototype of the “Indicators Visualiser – scatterplot” tool	35
Figure 17: High-fidelity prototype of the “Indicators Visualiser - line chart and bubble chart” tool	36
Figure 18: High-fidelity prototype of the “Indicators Visualiser - Build Your Own Index” tool	36

## References

- [1] *Annex I – Description of Work*, e•nventory project, grant agreement 261554, June 2010.
- [2] *Quality assurance plan and risk assessment*, D1.1, e•nventory project, October 2010.
- [3] *Dissemination and communication plan*, D5.1, e•nventory project, December 2010.
- [4] *International observatories, repositories and indicators study*, D2.1, e•nventory project, January 2011.
- [5] *Indicators visualisation and representation modelling and techniques*, D4.1, e•nventory project, February 2011.
- [6] *First set of European eInfrastructures Observatory indicators*, D2.2, e•nventory project, March 2011.
- [7] *Advisory board initial assessment and directions*, D3.2, e•nventory project, May 2011.
- [8] A. Cockburn, *Goals and Use Cases*, Journal of Object-Oriented Programming, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 35-40, 1997.
- [9] A. Cooper, R. Reimann, and D. Cronin, *About Face 3: The Essentials of Interaction Design*, 3rd ed. Wiley Publishing, Inc., 2007, p. 648.
- [10] C. Courage and K. Baxter, *Understanding Your Users: A practical guide to user requirements. Methods, Tools & techniques*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 2005, p. 704.
- [11] K. Gomoll, E. S. Church, and E. Bond, *The Field Study Handbook; A Common Sense Approach for Discovering User Needs*, User Interface Engineering, 2007. Last retrieved at [http://www.uie.com/reports/field\\_study\\_handbook/](http://www.uie.com/reports/field_study_handbook/)
- [12] K. Goodwin, *Designing for the Digital Age*, Wiley Publishing, Inc., 2009, p. 740.
- [13] J. T. Hackos and J. C. Redish, *User and Task Analysis for Interface Design*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1998.
- [14] ISO 13407: *Human-centred design processes for interactive systems*, International Standards Organisation.
- [15] B. R. Krause, *Getting Creative With Specs: Usable Software Specifications*, 2003. Last retrieved from [http://www.boxesandarrows.com/view/getting\\_creative\\_with\\_specs\\_usable\\_software\\_specifications](http://www.boxesandarrows.com/view/getting_creative_with_specs_usable_software_specifications)
- [16] M. Kuniavsky, *Observing the User Experience: A Practitioner’s Guide to User Research*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 2003.
- [17] M. Maguire and N. Bevan, *User requirements analysis: A review of supporting methods*, Proceedings of IFIP 17th World Computer Congress, Montreal, Canada: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002, pp. 133.
- [18] P. McBreen, *Using Use Cases for Requirements Capture*, 1998, p.8. Last retrieved from <http://www.mcbreen.ab.ca/papers/UseCaseNotes.pdf>
- [19] J. Preece, Y. Rogers, and H. Sharp, *Interaction Design: Beyond Human-Computer Interaction*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2002, p. 519.
- [20] Wikipedia, Requirements Analysis, [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Requirements\\_analysis](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Requirements_analysis)
- [21] C. Wodtke and A. Govella, *Information Architecture: Blueprints for the Web*, 2nd ed. New Riders Press, 2009, p. 312.

## Acronyms

FS	Functional Specifications
IV	Indicators Visualiser
OM	Organisation Mapping
OT	Other Tools
RE	Requirements

## Preface

The **e·nventory** project targets the formation of the **European Infrastructures Observatory**, a single-entry-point and one-stop-shop data warehouse, capable of representing multiple primary and convoluted indicators and benchmarks, and a yardstick tool for progress monitoring, impact assessment, post-mortem analysis and ex-ante evaluation of eInfrastructures at regional and national levels across the European Union and beyond.

The aim of the **e·nventory** project is to carry out a design study that will set the grounds towards the **European Infrastructures Observatory**; through the collection and utilisation of appropriate indicators, the project will be able to monitor the status quo and evolution over time of eInfrastructures development and communicate all findings to related stakeholders but also to the public-at-large, in a seamless and impartial way.

The **e·nventory** project will carry out extensive consultation with eInfrastructures stakeholders and research and innovation indicator experts and will extend previous benchmarking efforts (e.g. EARNEST) by including an extensive set of eInfrastructures components (i.e., computing, communication and services), eventually deploying a prototype web platform dealing with a critically-selected subset of indicators, through intuitive, interactive and user-friendly mappings, plots and graphics.

The project action plan is structured so that it can achieve:

- identification of a core set of **benchmarking indicators** for the **European Infrastructures Observatory** that will be the baseline for **monitoring** eInfrastructures development progress,
- eInfrastructures **stakeholders' feedback and consensus** on the proposed structure and functionality of the **European Infrastructures Observatory**, and
- **European Infrastructures Observatory** functionality **demonstration through a prototype web platform** that will be available to all eInfrastructure communities and to the general public.

The **e·nventory** project responds to the need of eInfrastructure stakeholders by delivering a prototype tool to aid impact assessment of related eInfrastructure initiatives and programmes. In that respect, the **user communities** that will effectively use the **European Infrastructures Observatory** as a yardstick tool and translate the project outputs into a real influence on eInfrastructure policy, are the **eInfrastructure stakeholders** themselves, including:

- the **European Parliament**, the **European Commission** and **National Governments** that are sponsoring eInfrastructure initiatives and place a high value in their policy agenda on the impact assessment, post-mortem analysis and ex-ante evaluation, to help design better and more successful future eInfrastructure programmes;
- **research funding bodies** that plan the development of strategies to address the digital divide and digital opportunity issues and need to utilise and assess the impact of eInfrastructures contributing to this goal;
- **eInfrastructure policy bodies** that have a clear mandate of supporting the development and the sustainability of eInfrastructures and need an impact assessment tool to monitor the progress of achieving their objectives;
- **eInfrastructure projects** that carry out specific eInfrastructure activities and need to monitor their impact during deployment as well as retrospectively;
- **scientific/research communities** that are empowered by eInfrastructures and are eager to comprehend their strengths and weaknesses that influence their everyday work.

High utilisation of the **European Infrastructures Observatory** from the broad eInfrastructure community is a key measure of the project's impact; therefore, the entire project workplan has been designed to maximize **stakeholders' engagement** and awareness.

The **e·nventory** project kicked-off in September 2010 and is planned to be completed by August 2012. The project is co-financed by the European Commission's Seventh Framework Programme for Research Infrastructures.

## Executive summary

### What is the focus of this Deliverable?

The objective of WP4 is to create the necessary tool/web platform, albeit at a pilot/prototype level, through which access will be given to the **European eInfrastructures Observatory**. The portal will allow for various representation and statistical data aggregation methods, including graphs, distributions, and other visualisation means that will enable accurate and user-friendly utilisation of the **eInventory** data repository.

Deliverable D4.2 documents the requirements and draft functional specifications for the prototype implementation of the **European eInfrastructures Observatory** web platform, in other words, descriptions of what the product should do and how it should function.

### What are the deliverable contents?

Deliverable D4.2 provides the requirements and functional specifications for the **European eInfrastructures Observatory** web platform. The profiling of the targeted users, the description of cases of use, the listing of requirements and associated functional or usability specifications, and the visual renderings of the platform in a sketch-like or more detailed form, are the methods used to derive the system's specifications. These techniques, in tandem, are used to guide the system design and development, including the information visualisation methods and interface design.

Chapter 1 presents the process of identifying needs and establishing requirements. Chapter 2 defines the users and the use cases. Chapter 3 outlines the requirements and functional specifications that were derived from this process. Chapter 4 presents the low-fidelity and high-fidelity design prototypes that were created as a result of the requirements and specifications.

This specification document should be considered as a “living document”: it will be updated, as the project proceeds, with details added on the structure, architecture and use cases.

### What are the deliverable main conclusions?

The definition of requirements is concerned with determining what the platform should do; these requirements may relate to function, appearance, performance, etc. Successful systems and products begin with an understanding of the needs of the users.

Hence, to arrive at these requirements, the characteristics of the target users were described, through the definition of use cases for two target groups: policymakers (eInfrastructure “enablers”) and researchers (eInfrastructure “users”). These use cases, which describe how the user and the system will interact, are condensed and abstracted into listings of requirements and associated functional or usability specifications.

Additionally, visual renderings of the platform in a sketch-like (low fidelity) and more detailed form (high fidelity) are used to illustrate the look and structure of the interface as well as the flow, behaviour and organisation of the interaction with the platform.

Acting in conjunction, these techniques aim to construct a complete picture of the platform, serving the purpose of eliciting feedback and ensuring that all the stakeholders involved have a common understanding of the system under development.

As the design of any interactive tool is an iterative and evolving process, through repeated design-evaluation-redesign cycles, the definition of requirements and functional specifications does not end here. The final set of specifications for the prototype web platform dealing with a critically-selected subset of indicators and relevant repositories, its functionality, the results of the usability and accessibility tests, and the final user's guide will be presented in a follow-up deliverable (D4.3), due in project month 20.

### How does this deliverable contribute to the project quality metrics?

This deliverable sets the ground for achieving the following project quality metrics:

- *At least 2 use cases supported by the prototype web platform, i.e. at least 2 different user-group needs addressed through the interactive visualisation of indicators developed.*

**How does this deliverable contribute to the avoidance/mitigation/exploitation of the project risks?**

This deliverable contributes to the avoidance, mitigation or exploitation of the following project risks:

- *Project high-level objectives not clear and/or agreed within the consortium;*
- *Not accomplishing effective interactive web visualisation of the information space;*
- *The on-line interactive visualisation tool not being user-friendly enough.*

**What is next in the process to deliver the e•nventory results?**

- Deliverable D5.2 (M12) will report (mid-project) on the implementation of dissemination and outreach activities, including assessment of their impact on the targeted eInfrastructure groups.
- Deliverable D5.3 (M14) will present an update of the dissemination plans for the project as well as a potential revision of the project communication package.
- Deliverable D3.3 (M15) will document, after concluding the entire consultation process, with the **European eInfrastructures Observatory Advisory Board**, the final reflections that will serve as a key milestone and final input for the e•nventory workplan.
- Deliverable D2.3 (M16) will provide the final set of the **European eInfrastructures Observatory** benchmarking indicators after the finalization of consultation activities in WP3.
- Deliverable D4.3 (M20) is the continuation of this document as it will include the final set of specifications for the prototype web platform dealing with a critically-selected subset of indicators and relevant repositories, its functionality and the user's guide. It will further include the results of the usability and accessibility tests that will be conducted with the platform. This deliverable will also serve as a technical reference for any follow-up (production-level) version of the portal after the completion of the project.
- Deliverable D5.4 (M23) will take the form of an inauguration workshop that will demonstrate the **European eInfrastructures Observatory** to representatives of the eInfrastructures community at large.
- Deliverable D5.5 (M24) will provide a final report on the implementation of dissemination and outreach activities, including assessment of their impact on the targeted eInfrastructure groups.